

Ryedale District Council

Annual Audit Letter

on the 2010/11 Audit

November 2011

Contents

	Page
1. Key messages	2
2. Purpose, responsibilities and scope	3
3. The audit of the accounts	4
4. Value for money	5
5. Other matters	6
6. Closing remarks	8

1. Key messages

In 2010/11 Ryedale District Council (“the Authority”) was required for the first time to prepare its Statement of Accounts in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). The adoption of a Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2010/11 based on IFRS resulted in a number of changes to accounting policies and the restatement of both the 2008/09 and 2009/10 accounts.

The Statement of Accounts was prepared, audited and concluded in accordance with the agreed timetable. The Authority maintained a good standard of financial reporting except with regard to the cash flow statement where there is a material unreconciled difference in the 2009/10 comparatives that have been restated for the IFRS transition. This means that the cash flow statement in 2009/10 has been balanced through the inclusion of a reconciling item which Officers are unable to support. Our opinion on the Statement of Accounts is qualified in respect of the 2009/10 comparatives to the cash flow statement. A number of presentation and disclosure amendments were made to improve compliance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2010/11 based on IFRS.

An unqualified audit opinion on the value for money conclusion was issued on 29 September 2011.

The audit certificate of completion of the audit was issued on 29 September 2011.

Apart from the restatement of the cash flow statement for 2009/10 we did not identify any material weaknesses in the financial reporting systems and the control observations noted in our report are considered to be minor. Control observations were reported to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 26 September 2011 as part of our report on significant matters arising from our audit.

The Whole of Government Accounts return was presented for audit by the deadline set by HM Treasury. For 2010/11 the National Audit Office set a de-minimus of £50 million income, expenditure, asset or liabilities as the threshold for issuing an opinion on the Whole of Government Accounts return. We confirmed to the National Audit Office by 30 September 2011 that on this basis a return was not required for the Authority.

Action needed by the Authority

The Authority needs to:

- continue to focus on meeting the financial reporting timetable; and
- implement the actions noted in the management response of each control observation raised in our report to those charged with governance.

2. Purpose, responsibilities and scope

The purpose of this letter

The purpose of this Annual Audit Letter is to summarise the key matters arising from the work that we have carried out in respect of the year ended 31 March 2011.

Although this letter is addressed to the members of Ryedale District Council, it is also intended to communicate the significant issues we have identified, in an accessible style, to key external stakeholders, including members of the public. The letter will be published on the Audit Commission website at www.audit-commission.gov.uk and also on the Authority's website.

This letter has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission. This is available from www.audit-commission.gov.uk.

Responsibilities of the Auditor and the Authority

The Authority is responsible for maintaining the control environment and accounting records and for preparing the accounting statements in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2010/11 based on IFRS and other relevant legislation.

We are appointed as the Authority's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing auditors to local public bodies in England, including District Councils.

As the Authority's appointed external auditor, we are responsible for planning and carrying out an audit that meets the requirements of the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice ("the Code"). Under the Code, we have responsibilities in two main areas:

- the Authority's accounts; and
- whether the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources (the value for money conclusion).

The scope of our work

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) as adopted by the UK Auditing Practices Board ("APB"). The audit opinion on the accounts reflects the financial reporting framework adopted by the Authority, Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2010/11 based on IFRS and other relevant legislation. We conducted our work on the value for money conclusion in line with guidance received from the Audit Commission in respect of district councils for the financial year ended 31 March 2011.

3. The audit of the accounts

Key issues arising from the audit of the accounts

We issued a qualified opinion on the Authority's 2010/11 accounts on 29 September 2011, in accordance with the deadline set for local authorities. Our opinion confirms that the accounts present a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority and its income and expenditure for the year except that we disagree that the restatement of the cash flow statement for the 2009/10 comparatives agrees to the underlying accounting records.

Before we give our opinion on the accounts, we are required to report to those charged with governance any significant matters arising from the audit. A detailed report covering the Authority was discussed with the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 26 September 2011 and the only key issue to report was the qualification.

We received a complete set of draft accounts for the Authority in advance of the agreed deadline, which were supported by working papers except in the area of the cash flow statement 2009/10 comparative as noted above. The finance staff were helpful throughout the process and responded swiftly to all queries. Amendments were made to some of the disclosures to improve compliance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2010/11 based on IFRS.

We have considered the financial standing of the Authority as at 31 March 2011. We have assessed this based on current/ongoing expenditure demands, expected income levels and the current cash position of the Authority. Public sector funding cuts have caused a reduction in grant income receivable in the four year period from 2011/12 to 2014/15. The Authority has drawn up plans on how to deal with the reduction in funding in 2011/12 and work is still ongoing by management to develop further measures to bridge the financial funding gap in 2012/13 and later years.

Audit certificate

When our audit is complete we are required to certify the closure of the audit. The audit certificate was issued on 29 September 2011.

4. Value for money

Background

From 2010/11 the Audit Commission has introduced new requirements for local value for money (“VFM”) audit work at councils. This year, auditors were required to give their statutory VFM conclusion based on the following two criteria:

- proper arrangements for securing financial resilience: work to focus on whether the Council has robust systems and processes to manage risks and opportunities effectively, and to secure a stable financial position that enables it to continue to operate for the foreseeable future; and
- proper arrangements for challenging how economy, efficiency and effectiveness are secured: work to focus on whether the Council is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets, for example by achieving cost reductions and by improving efficiency and productivity.

We have determined our local programme of work based on our risk assessment, which is informed by a series of risk factors determined by the Audit Commission.

It should be noted that the work carried out was light touch, in line with Audit Commission guidance, focusing on updating our understanding of arrangements and controls in place. As arrangements have previously been assessed as adequate and we are not aware of any changes, we did not carry out detailed testing of the implementation of those arrangements in the current year.

Value for money conclusion

Having performed our work in line with guidance received from the Audit Commission we issued an unqualified value for money conclusion for the 2010/11 financial year. This means that we are satisfied that, in the areas reviewed, the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources during the year.

5. Other matters

Audit Commission

On 13 August 2010, the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government announced the proposed abolition of the Audit Commission. Consultation on the new audit framework closed on 30 June 2011 and proposals will be included in a draft bill to allow full Parliamentary scrutiny in due course. The Commission is in the process of undertaking a market tender exercise to outsource the audits currently undertaken by its in-house practice with new appointments expected to apply from the 2012/13 financial year. Audits already outsourced, including this Authority, are not expected to be affected by this change in appointments.

Reports issued

Report	Date issued
Fee letter	April 2010
Audit plan	June 2011
Report to those charged with governance on the 2010/11 audit	September 2011
Annual audit letter	November 2011

Analysis of audit fees

	2011 £'000	2010 £'000
Fees for the annual audit set by the Audit Commission	96	88
Fees payable in respect of the certification of grant claims and returns of the Authority (2010/11 estimate per fee letter, 2009/10 actual)	30	20
Total	126	108

A rebate of £7,000 on the annual audit fee has been received by the Authority from the Audit Commission. This reduces the audit fee from £96,000 to £89,000.

We have not undertaken any non-audit work for the Authority during 2010/11.

5. Other matters (continued)

Independence and objectivity

In our professional judgement, our policies and safeguards that are in place ensure that we are independent within the meaning of all regulatory and professional requirements and that the objectivity of the audit partner and audit staff is not impaired.

Grants

We have undertaken work during the year on various claims and returns made by the Authority. Our work on the 2010/11 certification of claims and returns is still ongoing and a separate report will be prepared in respect of the findings from this work.

6. Closing remarks

This letter has been discussed and agreed with the Corporate Director - S151 Officer. A copy of the letter will be presented at the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 15 December 2011.

We would like to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and co-operation provided during the course of the audit. Our aim is to deliver a high standard of audit which makes a positive and practical contribution and which supports the Authority's own agenda. We recognise the value of your co-operation and support.



Deloitte LLP

Chartered Accountants

Leeds, England

15 November 2011

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body and this report is prepared on the basis of, and our audit work is carried out in accordance with, that statement.

The matters raised in this report are only those that came to our attention during our audit and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all weaknesses that exist or of all improvements that might be made. You should assess recommendations for improvements for their full implications before they are implemented. In particular, we would emphasise that we are not responsible for the adequacy and appropriateness of the national data and methodology supporting our value for money conclusion as they are derived solely from the Audit Commission.

This report has been prepared for the Members, as a body, and we therefore accept responsibility to you alone for its contents. We accept no duty, responsibility or liability to any other parties since this report has not been prepared, and is not intended, for any other purpose.

An audit does not provide assurance on the maintenance and integrity of the website, including controls used to achieve this, and in particular on whether any changes may have occurred to the Annual Audit Letter since first published. These matters are the responsibility of the Authority but no control procedures can provide absolute assurance in this area.



Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see www.deloitte.co.uk/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte LLP is the United Kingdom member firm of DTTL.

© 2011 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved.

Deloitte LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303675 and its registered office at 2 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BZ, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0) 20 7936 3000 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7583 1198.

Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited